

Original Article

Out of pocket expenditure incurred by couples seeking infertility services at tertiary level facilities in India

Asim Kumar Padhan,¹ Prerana Patil,³ Akshita Vikani,⁴ Deepshikha Sharma,⁶ Oshima Sachin,⁸ Biju Somen,¹⁰ Bhavani Shankara Bagepally,⁷ Renu Tanwar,⁵ Vanita Suri,¹¹ Radha V.,⁹ Anitha M.,¹² Ramesh P.,¹ Deepti Shrivastava,¹² Sukhpreet Patel,² Shankar Prinja⁴ and Beena Joshi¹

Departments of ¹Operational Research, and ²DOIR, ICMR-National Institute For Research in Reproductive and Child Health, ³National Cancer Grid, Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, Departments of ⁴Community Medicine, and ⁵IVF Centre, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, ⁶Department of Health Research, Government of India, ⁷Department of IVF and Reproductive Biology Centre, Maulana Azad Medical College, Delhi, ⁸Department of Community Medicine, Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, ⁹Department of IVF Centre, Sree Avitham Thirunal Hospital, Trivandrum, Kerala, ¹⁰ICMR-National Centre for Disease Informatics and Research, Bengaluru, Karnataka, ¹¹Department of Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, Sri Rama Chandra Institute of Higher Education and Research Chennai, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, ¹²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences, Wardha, Chhattisgarh, India

Received August 25, 2025; Accepted December 11, 2025; Published May 16, 2026

Background and objectives: Diagnosis and treatment of infertility, mostly sought at tertiary facilities, contribute to substantial out-of-pocket expenditure (OOPE). This study estimated OOPE among couples seeking care for endometriosis, male infertility, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), tubal factor, and uterine factor, including costs of diagnosis, management, and intrauterine insemination (IUI).

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted across five tertiary healthcare facilities (three public, two private) selected through convenience sampling to represent different regions. Based on mean (SD) OOPE INR (₹)144,393 (₹130,943), effect size 0.16, $\alpha=0.05$, and 80% power, the sample was equally distributed across sites and IVF/non-IVF groups, with ~100 participants per site. Couples were interviewed between April 2022–March 2023. Catastrophic health expenditure was defined as infertility spending exceeding 40% of annual household non-food expenditure. OOPE over the preceding year covered direct medical, non-medical, and indirect costs.

Results: Annual median OOPE was ₹11,317 (US \$136.5) (IQR: ₹4,801–₹19,513) US \$, higher in private facilities ₹14,217 (\$171.4) (IQR: ₹8,030–₹21,848) than public facilities ₹8,355 (\$100.7) (IQR: ₹3,785–₹17,386). Direct medical costs were the major contributor: median ₹5,802 (\$69.9) (IQR: ₹2,186–₹11,847). Highest OOPE was for endometriosis ₹15,084 (\$181.9) (IQR: ₹8,114–₹20,758), followed by uterine factor and male infertility ₹13,211 (\$159.3) (IQR: ₹6,654–₹21,521). OOPE increased with absence of insurance (₹6,919; \$83.4), comorbidities (₹2,593; \$31.3), IUI (₹2,668; \$32.1), and PCOS (₹2,004; \$24.1). Catastrophic Health Expenditure was associated with comorbidities (OR=1.61), IUI (OR=1.88), and lower per capita income <₹59,400 (\$715.7) (OR=3.44). Overall, 59.4% experienced CHE.

Interpretation and conclusions: Infertility care imposes substantial out of pocket expenditure in India. Strengthened insurance coverage and public sector investment are critical for equitable access.

Keywords Catastrophic health expenditure; IUI; Out of pocket expenditure